

LOGIC EXERCISES: WEEK 8

QUESTION 1

Translate the following L -sentences into unambiguous English using the given dictionary.

Domain of discourse: people in Star Wars.	P: ... is heroic
a: Luke	Q: ... is a Jedi
b: Ben	R: ... is a Wookie
c: Vader	S: ... is stronger in the Force than ...

- i. $\exists x \exists y ((Rx \wedge Ry) \wedge \neg x=y)$
- ii. $\forall x \forall y ((Qx \wedge Qy) \rightarrow x=y)$
- iii. $(Pa \wedge \forall x (Px \rightarrow x=a))$
- iv. $\forall x (Qx \leftrightarrow x=a)$
- v. $\forall x (Qx \rightarrow x=a)$
- vi. $\exists x ((Px \wedge Rx) \wedge \forall y ((Py \wedge Ry) \rightarrow y=x) \wedge Qx)$
- vii. $\forall x \exists y (Sxy \wedge \neg x=y)$
- viii. $\exists x \forall y (\neg x=y \rightarrow Sxy)$
- ix. $\forall x (x=c \rightarrow Sxb)$
- x. $\forall x ((x=a \vee x=b) \rightarrow (Px \wedge Qx))$

QUESTION 2

Using the same dictionary, formalize the following English claims in L .

- i. There is only one Wookie.
- ii. There is at most one Wookie.
- iii. There are exactly two Wookies.
- iv. There are at most two Wookies.
- v. If no Wookies are heroic, then no Wookies are heroic Jedi.
- vi. Vader is stronger in the Force than any Wookie.
- vii. Any Wookie who is stronger in the Force than Ben is a heroic Jedi.
- viii. No two Jedi are both stronger in the Force than Vader.
- ix. No two Jedi are both stronger in the Force than each other.
- x. If no Jedi is heroic, then Vader is stronger in the Force than anyone else.
- xi. If any Wookie is stronger in the Force than some Jedi, then that Wookie is heroic.
- xii. The Wookie who is stronger in the Force than Vader is heroic.
- xiii. Only Luke and Ben are stronger in the Force than Vader.
- xiv. Luke is only stronger in the Force than a Wookie.
- xv. Only Luke is only stronger in the Force than a Wookie.

QUESTION 3

Produce L -structures that are counterexamples to the following incorrect claims of validity.

- i. $\exists x \exists y ((Gx \wedge Gy) \wedge \neg x=y), \forall x (\exists y (Gy \wedge x=y) \wedge \neg Fx) \models \exists x \exists y ((Fx \wedge Fy) \wedge \neg x=y)$
- ii. $\exists x Fx, \forall x \forall y \forall z (((Fx \wedge Fy) \wedge Fz) \rightarrow ((x=y \vee y=z) \vee x=z)) \models \exists x \forall y (Fy \leftrightarrow x=y)$
- iii. $Pab, Pba \models \neg a=b$
- iv. $\forall x \forall y (Px \rightarrow (Py \rightarrow \neg x=y)) \models \exists x \exists y \neg x=y$
- v. $\forall x \forall y (\neg x=y \rightarrow (\neg Rxy \vee \neg Ryx)) \models \forall x Rxx$

QUESTION 4

Construct proofs in natural deduction to show that the following sequents are correct.

- i. $\vdash \exists y y=y$
- ii. $\exists x Px, \exists x \neg Px \vdash \exists x \exists y \neg x=y$
- iii. $\exists x (\forall y (Py \rightarrow x=y) \wedge Px) \vdash \exists x \forall y (Py \leftrightarrow x=y)$
- iv. $\exists x \forall y (Py \leftrightarrow x=y) \vdash \exists x (\forall y (Py \rightarrow x=y) \wedge Px)$